



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/372,459	08/11/1999	CORNELIS A.M. JASPERS	PHN-17-061	1417
24737	7590	07/13/2005	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			GENCO, BRIAN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 09/372,459
Filing Date: August 11, 1999
Appellant(s): JASPERS, CORNELIS A.M.

MAILED

JUL 13 2005

Technology Center 2600

Michael J. Ure
For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed June 22, 2005.

(1) *Real Party in Interest*

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) *Related Appeals and Interferences*

A statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief.

(3) *Status of Claims*

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) *Status of Amendments After Final*

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) *Summary of Invention*

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

(6) *Grounds of Rejection*

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(7) *Claims Appealed*

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) *Prior Art of Record*

4605954

Bestenreiner et al.

8-1986

(9) *Grounds of Rejection*

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). This rejection is set forth in a prior Office Action, mailed on February 8, 2005.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). This rejection is set forth in a prior Office Action, mailed on February 8, 2005.

(11) *Response to Argument*

Appellant argues that Bestenreiner does not disclose adjusting a single first color signal matrix related value to obtain a color signal matrix adjustment, and automatically adapting at least two color signal matrix parameters other than said single first color signal matrix. In particular, Appellant argues that Bestenreiner teaches that R' and B' are obtained in response to adjusting device 7. Subsequently G' is obtained in response to R', B', and Y.

In response, Examiner notes that the rejection previously presented was based on the interpretation that the value k is the first color signal matrix related valued. In response to adjusting the valued k the values R and B are changed to R' and B' according to the equations detailed by the Examiner in the Final Office Action mailed on February 8, 2005. Further note column 5, lines 6-27 of Bestenreiner. As such, at least two color signal matrix parameters other than said single first color signal matrix related value (e.g., R and B are adjusted to R' and B') in dependence upon said color signal matrix parameter adjustment (e.g., the adjustment is based on changing the value of k).

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Art Unit: 2615


Respectfully submitted,

Brian Genco
July 11, 2005

Conferees,



David Ometz, SPE 2615


WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Wendy Garber, SPE 2612

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510